

# Annual Inspection Report

for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2023



10

15

## Contents

Ex	ecutive Summary	6
1.	Introduction	7 7
	1.2. General Description and Inspection Procedure	7
	1.2.1. FTE Inspection Zones	8
	1.2.2. Inspection Categories	9
	1.2.3. Roadway Rating Procedure (RRP)	. 10
	1.2.4. Inspection Procedure	. 12
2.	Rating Procedure Findings	. 16
	2.1.Roadways	. 16
	2.2. Buildings	. 23
	2.2.1. Mainline Toll Plaza Buildings	. 23
	2.2.2. Ramp Toll Plaza Buildings	. 24
	2.2.3. Communication Tower Buildings	. 25
	2.2.4. Miscellaneous Buildings	. 26
	2.3. Structures	.31
	2.3.1. Bridges	. 31
	2.3.2. Large Non-Qualifying Culverts (LNQC)	. 31
	2.3.3. High Mast Light Towers (HMLT)	. 31
	2.3.4. Overhead Sign Structures	. 31
3.	Inspection Results	. 33
	3.1.Roadways	. 33
	3.1.1. Roadway	. 34
	3.1.2. Roadside	. 35
	3.1.3. Traffic Services	. 35
	3.1.4. Drainage	. 36
	3.1.5. Vegetation - Aesthetics	. 36
	3.2. Buildings	. 37
	3.3. Structures	.40
	2.2.2. Large Nep Quelifying Culverte	.4U
	3.3.2. Large Non-Qualitying Curverts	.41
	2.2.4 Overhead Sign Structures	.41
	3.3.4. Overnead Sign Structures	.41

FLORIDA'S TURNPIKE

4.	Commitments and Recommendations	42
	4.1. Commitments	42
	4.2. Recommendations	43
Арј	pendices	46
	Appendix A - Maps of System Components and Inspection Zones Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways Structures and	47
	Buildings	64
	Appendix C - Selected Photographs of Desired/Undesired Conditions	71

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1: FTE System Sample Characteristics	13
Figure 2: Major Toll Plaza Elements (Non-AET)	23
Figure 3: Typical Unstaffed AET	24
Figure 4: Ft. Pierce Communications Building Exterior	
Figure 5: Canoe Creek Communications Building Interior	
Figure 6: Snapper Creek FHP Building - SR 821	
Figure 7: Pompano Operations Annex Building – SR 91	
Figure 8: Port St Lucie Service Plaza	27
Figure 9: Overhead Sign Structure	32
Figure 10: Typical Paved and Soil Shoulder Section	35
Figure 11: Highway Lighting	35
Figure 12: Shoulder Gutter	36
Figure 13: Maintained Roadside	36
Figure 14: SR 589 Suncoast Bridge	40
Figure 15: Typical Culvert	41
Figure 16: Typical Overhead Sign Structure	41



# **List of Tables**

Table 1: FTE System Segments	7
Table 2: Maintenance Inspection Zones	8
Table 3: Inspection Categories and Elements	9
Table 4: Roadway Inspection Rating Scale	11
Table 5: Building Inspection Rating Scale	11
Table 6: Building Quantities	14
Table 7: Major Structure Quantities	14
Table 8: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone I	17
Table 9: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone II	18
Table 10: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone III	19
Table 11: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone IV	20
Table 12: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone V	21
Table 13: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Summary of All Zones	22
Table 14: Condition of Buildings - All Zones	28
Table 15: Condition of Overhead Sign Structures	32
Table 16: Roadway Contracts in Effect or Advertised During FY 2023	34
Table 17: Facilities & Communication Contracts in Effect or Advertised During FY	
2023	38
Table 18: Bridge Contracts in Effect or Advertised During FY 2023	40
Table 19: FY 2023 through 2027 Program Commitments (\$M)	42
Table 20: Improvements, Current Funding Status, and Recommendations –	
Highway Operations	43
Table 21: RRP Roadway Elements and Characteristics	65
Table 22: Bridge Inspection Rating Scale	66
Table 23: Overhead Sign Structures Inspection Rating Scale	67
Table 24: High Mast Light Tower Inspection Rating Scale	68
Table 25: Building Elements and Characteristics - FTE System (All Zones)	69



# **List of Acronyms and Abbreviations**

AET	All-Electronic Tolling
EDR	Enterprise Data Repository
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTE	Florida's Turnpike Enterprise
FY	Fiscal Year
GASB	Governmental Accounting Standards Board
GFI	Ground Fault Interrupt
HMLT	High Mast Light Tower
LNQC	Large Non-Qualifying Culverts
MRP	Maintenance Rating Program
NBI	National Bridge Inspection
PCS	Pavement Condition Survey
RRP	Roadway Rating Procedure
SMO	State Materials Office



### **Executive Summary**

As General Engineering Consultants to Florida's Turnpike Enterprise (FTE) and in accordance with Section 5.13 of the Turnpike Enterprise Bond Resolution, AtkinsRéalis and HNTB are pleased to submit the annual independent inspection asset condition report of the FTE System for the fiscal year (FY) ending June 30, 2023.

This year's inspection results confirm the success of FTE's ongoing, aggressive, and comprehensive maintenance efforts. The overall condition of the FTE system is good. The system's primary feature, 511 centerline miles of roadway, is comprised of 40 characteristics. Overall, no roadway characteristic was found greater than 4.3 percent unsatisfactory, as shown on Table 13. For this report, roadway characteristics reported as unsatisfactory are defined as rated four (4) or below in the Roadway Rating Procedure (RRP), based on a 10-point scale, as described in Table 4.

The FY 2023 annual inspection revealed that the majority of buildings were determined to be in overall good condition; however, there were some with unsatisfactory characteristics. Most of these unsatisfactory characteristics are cosmetic in nature and none pose structural concerns.

Structures are inspected on a biennial basis by two (2) separate independent engineering consultants contracted to the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The most recent inspection was conducted in FY 2023. Bridges were reported in good condition. Other structures inspected during the biennial inspection are included in this report.

FTE programmed \$77.72 million for periodic and routine maintenance in FY 2023. These funds are used for maintenance of all highway and structure assets, routine building maintenance, roof replacement/restoration, building renovation, toll plaza tunnel sealing, drainage improvements and safety related upgrades. As a part of its Renewal and Replacement Program, FTE programmed \$90.29 million in FY 2023 for roadway resurfacing; roadway, bridge, and facility construction; toll equipment enhancement; and bridge repair work.

This report presents an analysis of inspection findings, the status of the FTE system with respect to the RRP and the programmed maintenance funding level commitments through FY 2027. Based on prioritization of specific unsatisfactory characteristics identified by FTE's Maintenance Office and coordination of funding-related issues with FTE's Finance Office, recommendations are made for the initiation of conceptual studies and funding for several improvement projects. FTE's commitment to system improvement and preservation is apparent based on the emphasis placed on its Maintenance and Renewal and Replacement programs. By continually monitoring system conditions and ensuring that its facilities are maintained in good condition, FTE is better able to provide for the safety and convenience of its customers while also maintaining a secure investment for bondholders.



### 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Purpose

FTE is required by Section 5.13 of the Turnpike Enterprise Bond Resolution and Statement 34 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) to perform an independent review of the overall condition of all bonded assets. FTE's General Engineering Consultants, AtkinsRéalis and HNTB, perform a comprehensive annual inspection of all roadways (not including mainline pavement) and facilities. Updates of the inspection findings are provided to FTE's Facilities and Roadway Maintenance departments every 30-business days during the inspection cycle.

### **1.2.** General Description and Inspection Procedure

The FTE system is comprised of multi-lane, limited-access toll facilities. Components of the system included in the FY 2023 inspection cycle are 511 centerline miles, 300 buildings at 286 facility locations, and 765 bridges. Bridge inspection reports are not disclosed in this report based on FDOT policy regarding disclosure of structure details. The system's mainline roadway segments are summarized in Table 1.

Segment	Length (centerline miles)
Florida's Turnpike – SR 91 & SR 408 to SR 91 Ramps	265
Florida's Turnpike - SR 821	48
Sawgrass Expressway - SR 869	23
Beachline West Expressway - SR 528	9
Beachline East Expressway - SR 528 & SR 407	22
Seminole Expressway – SR 417	18
Veterans Expressway – SR 589	15
I-4 Connector (ramps)	1
Southern Connector Extension – SR 417	6
Polk Parkway – SR 570	25
Suncoast Parkway – SR 589 (includes Veterans Expressway Spur SR 568)	53
Western Beltway – SR 429 (1 mi ramp not included)	11
First Coast Expressway – SR 23	15
Total	511

#### **Table 1: FTE System Segments**



### **1.2.1. FTE Inspection Zones**

Geographic zones were established by the Consultant team to describe the primary FTE system components relative to all regions of the state. The roadway and structure inspections are based on five zones and the building inspections are based on 10 zones. The system components, or portions thereof, included in each of the inspection zones are described in Table 2 and illustrated in Appendix A: Maps of System Components and Inspection Zones.

Roadways and Structures					
	Florida's Turnpike – Milepost 0X through 100 - SR 91				
Zone I	Florida's Turnpike - SR 821				
	Sawgrass Expressway - SR 869				
Zone II	lorida's Turnpike - Milepost 100 through 200 - SR 91				
	Florida's Turnpike - Milepost 200 through 309 - SR 91				
	Beachline West Expressway - SR 528				
	Beachline East Expressway - SR 528				
Zono III	Challenger Memorial Parkway - SR 407				
Zone in	Florida's Turnpike Connection to East-West Expressway - SR 408				
	Southern Connector Extension - SR 417				
	Seminole Expressway - SR 417				
	Western Beltway - SR 429				
	Veterans Expressway - SR 589 Spur SR 569				
Zone IV	Polk Parkway - SR 570				
	Suncoast Parkway - SR 589				
Zone V	First Coast Expressway – SR 23				
	Building - Facilities and Communications				
Florida's Turnpike - SR 821	Florida's Turnpike - SR 821				
Florida's Turnnike - South	Florida's Turnpike - Milepost 0X - MP 88				
	Sawgrass Expressway – SR 869				
Florida's Turnpike - Central	Florida's Turnpike - Milepost 88 through 236 - SR 91				
	Florida's Turnpike - Milepost 236 through 309 - SR 91				
	Beachline West Expressway - SR 528				
Florida's Turnpike - North	Beachline East Expressway – SR 528				
	Southern Connector Extension – SR 417				
	Western Beltway – SR 429				
Seminole	Seminole Expressway – SR 417				
I-4 Crosstown Conn.	I-4 Connector. NB/SB Gantry Structure				
Veterans	Veterans Expressway - SR 568				
Polk	Polk Parkway - SR 570				
Suncoast	Suncoast Parkway - SR 589				
First Coast	First Coast Expressway – SR 23				

#### Table 2: Maintenance Inspection Zones



### 1.2.2. Inspection Categories

To efficiently inspect the FTE system, all assets have been placed into three major categories: roadways, buildings, and structures. The AtkinsRéalis - HNTB team inspects roadways and buildings on an annual basis while structures are inspected on a biennial basis by a separate group of consultants (see Section 1.2.4.3).

Table 3 summarizes the three inspection categories by listing the five general elements for roadways, the 14 general elements for buildings and the four general elements for structures.

Category	Element				
	Roadway				
	Roadside				
Roadway	Traffic Services				
	Drainage				
	Vegetation - Aesthetics				
	Architecture				
	Building HVAC				
	Domestic Plumbing				
	Building Electrical				
	Communications, Fire Alarm, Monitoring Devices				
	Concrete Pavement & Sidewalks				
Building	Sewer / Septic Tanks, Lift Stations & Wells				
Dulluling	Islands				
	Booths				
	Canopy				
	Plaza Concrete Aprons				
	Site Grounds				
	Stand-By Power				
	Structural				
	Bridges				
Structure	Large Non-Qualifying Culverts				
onucluie	High Mast Light Towers				
	Overhead Sign Structures				

**Table 3: Inspection Categories and Elements** 



### 1.2.3. Roadway Rating Procedure (RRP)

FTE and AtkinsRéalis developed a Roadway Rating Procedure (RRP) to assess FTE's assets. The RRP was developed based on the principles of the Maintenance Rating Program (MRP) Handbook, State Materials Office and FDOT Standard Index criteria as the baseline criteria for the roadway inspections. The RRP is not intended to mimic or compare itself to the MRP process. The RRP is an independent assessment. The RRP employs a 10-point rating scale with characteristics scoring 4 or lower being expressed as percentages. A score of 4, which is the threshold for declaring a characteristic as deficient, is deemed unsatisfactory in appearance, functionality, or operability. The percentages are shown on Tables 8 - 13.

The RRP expands on the 35 MRP characteristics to include concrete barrier, riprap, and rutting, stripping, cracking in asphalt ramp pavement locations. Based on the RRP procedure, mainline roadway elements are visually inspected and documented in one-mile increments. On and off ramps are visually inspected and documented for their entire length. The FTE facilities as documented in this annual inspection report, and ramps, are all assigned a rating based on the RRP 10-point scale.

RRP mainline inspections include all characteristics outside of the travel way such as paved and unpaved shoulders, fencing, guardrail, etc. RRP ramp inspections include all paved portions of ramps in addition to the same elements included with the mainline inspections.

Mainline travel way pavement is inspected by the State Materials Office (SMO) and these results are published annually in the Pavement Condition Survey (PCS). Beginning and ending mileposts of active construction zones are recorded during inspections and the roadway characteristics for these areas are not inspected or used in developing ratings in this report.

For efficiency, GPS-enabled tablets are utilized to enter ratings and recommendations into an AtkinsRéalis-developed database as the field inspections are performed. The database is maintained throughout the duration of the inspection process and utilized to generate each roadway report. Inspection results are identified in the worksheets by roadway/ramp segment and lane direction.

RRP ratings may be used by FTE in formulating general recommendations for system repair and improvement.



The following tables provide a description of ratings used by the RRP.

Grade	Rating	Description
10	Excellent	Characteristic appearance and functionality/operability are in like-new condition.
9-8	Good	Characteristic appearance and functionality/operability are in acceptable condition or above average condition.
7-5	Degraded	Characteristic appearance and functionality/operability are below average.
4-2	Unsatisfactory	Characteristic appearance and functionality/operability are unsatisfactory.
1	Emergency	Characteristic appearance and functionality/operability are far below average, and immediate attention appears necessary to protect public or system asset.

#### Table 4: Roadway Inspection Rating Scale

#### Table 5: Building Inspection Rating Scale

Grade	Rating	Description				
10	Excellent	No action necessary				
9	Very Good	No unsatisfactory characteristics noted				
8	Good	Some minor unsatisfactory characteristics noted; minor maintenance may be required				
7	Satisfactory	Characteristic shows some minor deterioration; maintenance may be required				
6	Fair	Characteristic is sound but may have minor loss of function; minor rehabilitation may be required				
5	Degraded	Characteristic shows partial function loss; rehabilitation may be required				
4	Serious	Loss of function has seriously affected this Characteristic; repair or rehabilitation is required soon to maintain functionality				
3	Critical	Advanced loss of function is present, and it may be necessary to stop the function until corrective action can be taken				
2	Imminent Failure	Characteristic is not functioning; immediate corrective action may forestall the complete failure				
1	Failed	The Characteristic is out of service and beyond corrective action				



Structures, unlike roadways and buildings, are rated using modified federal and state standards as reflected in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings, Section B - Structures Rating Procedure.

### **1.2.4.** Inspection Procedure

All inspections are conducted according to standard procedures developed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and/or FDOT and involve an extensive visual examination of all elements relative to the category of inspection. A detailed tabulation of the conditions observed on the date of the field inspection is prepared in the form of inspection worksheets developed from the inspection database.

Due to the time duration between field inspection activities and publication of this report, certain characteristics identified in this report as requiring remedial action may have already been corrected through ongoing maintenance and construction activities. Repairs and improvements are typically funded through FTE's Maintenance Program, periodic or routine maintenance contracts or through FTE's Renewal and Replacement Program. Serious conditions that demand immediate attention (characteristics rated as a "1") are reported by the inspection team via email and phone call to the appropriate FTE office immediately upon their discovery in the field.

### 1.2.4.1. Roadway Inspection

The inspection team performs a visual inspection of 40 characteristics within the right of way limits. Mainline pavement is not included as part of the inspection of roadway characteristics as that inspection data is secured from the SMO. However, the ramp pavement is inspected, and all other 40 characteristics are inspected along each of the ramps throughout the system. A sample of the characteristics included are illustrated below in Figure 1.





### Figure 1: FTE System Sample Characteristics

### 1.2.4.2. Building Inspection

The annual maintenance inspection of FTE's building facilities is based on a condition assessment and inventory of 99 facility characteristics in 14 general elements. As part of the inspection process, all relevant characteristics are visually inspected, and ratings are assigned based on the conditions observed. A complete list of all building elements and characteristics is included in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings.

The building facilities inspection is based on four building types:

- 1. Mainline Toll Plaza buildings
- 2. Ramp Plazas buildings (All-Electronic Tolling (AET) and Combo)
- 3. Communication Tower buildings
- 4. Miscellaneous buildings

A total of 313 buildings located within ten maintenance inspection zones were inspected during the FY 2023 inspection. Table 6 shows the number of each building type by inspection zone.

Building	Inspection Zones										
Туре	Florida's Turnpike -SR 821	Florida's Turnpike - South	Florida's Turnpike - Central	Florida's Turnpike - North	Seminole Expressway	I-4 Crosstown Conn.	Veterans	Polk	Suncoast	First Coast Expressway	Totals
Mainline Toll Plaza Buildings	19	12	15	16	2	0	1	4	4	0	73
Ramp Plaza Buildings - AET / Combo Buildings	32	39	10	30	14	1	12	16	15	7	176
Communication Buildings	2	3	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Miscellaneous Use Buildings		9	14	10	2	0	1	4	2	0	49
Totals	60	63	46	59	18	1	14	24	21	7	313

### Table 6: Building Quantities

### **1.2.4.3.** Structures Inspection

The biennial structures inspection is based on four elements of major structures:

- 1. Bridges (including owned but not maintained)
- 2. Large non-qualifying culverts (LNQC)
- 3. High mast light towers (HMLT)
- 4. Overhead sign structures

The FTE system includes 2,430 individual structures. Table 7 shows the total quantities of all structures with respect to each of the five maintenance zones.

		-				
Category	Zone I	Zone II	Zone III	Zone IV	Zone V	Totals
Bridges	241	102	232	173	17	765
Large Non-Qualifying Culverts	27	97	73	28	11	236
High Mast Light Towers	129	18	69	102	0	318
Overhead Sign Structures	518	51	283	221	38	1,111
Totals	915	268	657	524	66	2,430

**Table 7: Major Structure Quantities** 



The structures inspection for FY 2023 was divided into two contracts. Zones 1 and 2 are performed by Marlin Engineering, Inc Zones 3, 4 and 5 are performed by Kisinger, Campo, & Associates Corp. Both inspection contracts have 4-year terms which began in August 2023 and will end in August of 2027.



## 2. Rating Procedure Findings

The findings included in this report are based on an extensive evaluation of the roadway, building and structures inspection worksheets prepared by a team of independent engineering consultants. This report summarizes the data included in the inspection worksheets, bridge inspection reports and PCS, which reflect the condition of the characteristics at the time of inspection. Complete listings of characteristics typically inspected in each of the three major categories of facilities are included in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings.

### 2.1. Roadways

Each unsatisfactory characteristic is discussed in Section 3 Inspection Results. Each table contains only characteristics listed in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings. A rating of four or below on the field inspection worksheets indicates that the portion of the characteristic is in less than fair (unsatisfactory) condition. Roadway characteristic conditions found during the RRP inspection for each of the maintenance zones are summarized in Tables 8 - 13.



Element	Characteristic	Quantity Inspected	Units	Quantity Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Pothole	81	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Joint	1,037	Each	1	0.10%
	Pavement Void	81	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Edge Ravel	295	Miles	0	0.00%
Boodwov	Rutting	81	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
Roadway	Cracking	81	Ramp Miles	0	0.13%
	Depression	295	Miles	0	0.00%
	Stripping	81	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Shoving	81	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Paved Shoulder	2,203	Miles	1	0.05%
	Soil Shoulder	295	Miles	0	0.00%
	Front Slope	295	Miles	0	0.00%
Roadside	Sidewalk	0	SF	0	0.00%
	Slope Protection	124,315	SY	0	0.00%
	Fence	203	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Marker	157,190	Each	0	0.00%
Traffic Services	Striping	1,340	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Symbol	360,549	SF	0	0.00%
	Guardrail	229	Miles	0	0.04%
	Attenuator	2,320	Each	1	0.04%
	Barrier Wall	147	Miles	0	0.00%
	Signs Less Than 30 SF	3,768	Each	0	0.00%
	Signs Greater Than 30 SF	568	Each	0	0.00%
	Object Marker	9,889	Each	130	1.31%
	Sign Light	8,278	Each	0	0.00%
	Highway Light	7,495	Each	11	0.15%
	Cross Drain	139,431	Each	0	0.00%
	Roadside Ditch	11	Miles	0	0.00%
	Median Ditch	1	Miles	0	0.00%
Drainage	Outfall Ditch	14,860	Feet	0	0.00%
Dramage	Curb Inlet	3,740	Each	3	0.08%
	Rip Rap	54,329	SY	0	0.00%
	Misc. Inlet	195	Each	0	0.00%
	Roadway Sweep	295	Miles	2	0.68%
	Roadway Mowing	2,535	Acres	0	0.00%
	Slope Mowing	442	Acres	0	0.00%
Vegetation/	Landscape	26	Acres	0	0.00%
Aesthetics	Tree Trim	295	Miles	0	0.00%
	Litter Removal	295	Miles	0	0.00%
	Turf Condition	295	Miles	0	0.00%

# Table 8: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone I(Turnpike Mainline (SR 91) - MP 0X-100, SR 821, SR 869)

Element	Characteristic	Quantity Inspected	Units	Quantity Rated Four	Percent Rated Four	
		1-		of Below		
	Pothole	15	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%	
	Joint	526	Each	0	0.00%	
	Pavement Void	15	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%	
	Edge Ravel	218	Miles	0	0.00%	
Roadway	Rutting	15	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%	
Roddway	Cracking	15	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%	
	Depression	218	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Stripping	15	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%	
	Shoving	15	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%	
	Paved Shoulder	1,357	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Soil Shoulder	218	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Front Slope	218	Miles	0	0.00%	
Roadside	Sidewalk	0	SF	0	0.00%	
	Slope Protection	12,041	SY	0	0.00%	
	Fence	188	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Pavement Marker	43.592	Each	0	0.00%	
	Striping	657	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Pavement Symbol	105.257	SF	0	0.00%	
	Guardrail	189	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Attenuator	640	Fach	0	0.00%	
Traffic Services	Barrier Wall	55	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Signs Less Than 30 SE	1 549	Fach	0	0.00%	
	Signs Greater Than 30 SE	234	Each	0	0.00%	
	Object Marker	5 397	Each	9/	1 74%	
	Sign Light	1 013	Each	0	0.00%	
	Highway Light	886	Each	10	1 13%	
	Cross Drain	28 565	Each	10	0.00%	
	Poadsido Ditch	20,000	Milos	0	0.00%	
	Madian Ditah	0	Miles	0	0.00%	
		0 902	Ivilles	0	0.00%	
Drainage		9,093	Feel	0	0.00%	
		/00	Each	1	0.13%	
	Rip Rap Miss Jalat	10,127	Si Faab	0	0.00%	
	Misc. Inier	47	Each	0	0.00%	
	Roadway Sweep	∠18 1 744	IVIIIES	1	0.46%	
	Roadway Mowing	1,714	Acres	0	0.00%	
Vegetation		105	Acres	0	0.00%	
vegetation/	Lanoscape	18	Acres	0	0.00%	
Aestnetics		218	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Litter Removal	218	Miles	0	0.00%	
	Turf Condition	218	Miles	0	0.00%	

### Table 9: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone II (Turnpike Mainline (SR 91) - MP 100-200)

### Table 10: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone III (Turnpike Mainline - MP 200-309, Beachline E&W, SR 407, SR 408, SR 417, SR 429)

Element	Characteristic	Quantity Inspected	Units	Quantity Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Pothole	65	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Joint	943	Each	0	0.00%
	Pavement Void	65	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Edge Ravel	408	Miles	0	0.00%
Boodwov	Rutting	65	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
Ruduway	Cracking	65	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Depression	408	Miles	0	0.00%
	Stripping	65	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Shoving	65	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Paved Shoulder	2,849	Miles	0	0.00%
	Soil Shoulder	408	Miles	0	0.00%
	Front Slope	408	Miles	0	0.00%
Roadside	Sidewalk	0	SF	0	0.00%
	Slope Protection	52,243	SY	0	0.00%
	Fence	338	Miles	1	0.30%
	Pavement Marker	112,355	Each	0	0.00%
	Striping	1,334	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Symbol	331,263	SF	3	0.00%
	Guardrail	374	Miles	0	0.00%
	Attenuator	2,083	Each	0	0.00%
Traffic Services	Barrier Wall	66	Miles	0	0.00%
	Signs Less Than 30 SF	3,411	Each	3	0.09%
	Signs Greater Than 30SF	626	Each	8	1.28%
	Object Marker	9,523	Each	67	0.70%
	Sign Light	5,677	Each	0	0.00%
	Highway Light	5,227	Each	12	0.23%
	Cross Drain	162,462	Each	3	0.00%
	Roadside Ditch	18	Miles	0	0.00%
	Median Ditch	3	Miles	0	0.00%
<b>D</b> .	Outfall Ditch	25,387	Feet	0	0.00%
Drainage	Curb Inlet	3,303	Each	0	0.00%
	Rip Rap	21,598	SY	0	0.00%
	Misc. Inlet	228	Each	17	7.46%
	Roadway Sweep	408	Miles	0	0.00%
	Roadway Mowing	2,958	Acres	1	0.03%
	Slope Mowing	503	Acres	2	0.40%
Vegetation/	Landscape	97	Acres	0	0.00%
Aesthetics	Tree Trim	408	Miles	0	0.00%
	Litter Removal	408	Miles	0	0.00%
	Turf Condition	408	Miles	0	0.00%

# Table 11: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone IV(Veterans, Polk & Suncoast)

Element	Characteristic	Quantity Inspected	Units	Quantity Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Pothole	42	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Joint	642	Each	0	0.00%
	Pavement Void	42	Ramp Miles 0		0.00%
	Edge Ravel	235	Miles	1	0.43%
Poadway	Rutting	42	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
Ruduway	Cracking	42	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Depression	235	Miles	0	0.00%
	Stripping	42	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Shoving	42	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Paved Shoulder	1,809	Miles	0	0.00%
	Soil Shoulder	235	Miles	0	0.00%
	Front Slope	235	Miles	0	0.00%
Roadside	Sidewalk	30,260	SF	0	0.00%
	Slope Protection	23,590	SY	0	0.00%
	Fence	230	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Marker	80,937	Each	0	0.00%
	Striping	702	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Symbol	374,296	SF	200	0.05%
	Guardrail	107	Miles	0	0.00%
	Attenuator	1,301	Each	0	0.00%
Traffic Services	Barrier Wall	44	Miles	0	0.00%
	Signs Less Than 30 SF	2,437	Each	1	0.04%
	Signs Greater Than 30 SF	388	Each	2	0.52%
	Object Marker	22,089	Each	16	0.07%
	Sign Light	5,180	Each	0	0.00%
	Highway Light	4,540	Each	128	2.82%
	Cross Drain	178,958	Each	5	0.00%
	Roadside Ditch	7	Miles	0	0.00%
	Median Ditch	4	Miles	0	0.00%
	Outfall Ditch	11,948	Feet	0	0.00%
Drainage	Curb Inlet	1,942	Each	0	0.00%
	Rip Rap	3.521	SY	0	0.00%
	Misc. Inlet	88	Each	8	9.09%
	Roadway Sweep	235	Miles	0	0.00%
	Roadway Mowing	2,356	Acres	2	0.08%
	Slope Mowing	410	Acres	0	0.00%
Vegetation/	Landscaping	29	Acres	0	0.00%
Aesthetics	Tree Trim	235	Miles	0	0.00%
	Litter Removal	235	Miles	1	0.43%
	Turf Condition	235	Miles	0	0.00%

# Table 12: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Zone V(First Coast Expressway)

Element	Characteristic	Quantity Inspected	Units	Quantity Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Pothole	8	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Joint	52	Each	0	0.00%
	Pavement Void	8	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Edge Ravel	37	Miles	0	0.00%
Poodway	Rutting	8	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
Ruduway	Cracking	8	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Depression	37	Miles	0	0.00%
	Stripping	8	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Shoving	8	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Paved Shoulder	269	Miles	0	0.00%
	Soil Shoulder	37	Miles	0	0.00%
	Front Slope	37	Miles	0	0.00%
Roadside	Sidewalk	0	SF	0	0.00%
	Slope Protection	0	SY	0	0.00%
	Fence	31	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Marker	10,011	Each	0	0.00%
Traffic Services	Striping	110	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Symbol	35,781	SF	0	0.00%
	Guardrail	7	Miles	0	0.00%
	Attenuator	0	Each	0	0.00%
	Barrier Wall	1	Miles	0	0.00%
	Signs Less Than 30 SF	316	Each	0	0.00%
	Signs Greater Than 30 SF	65	Each	0	0.00%
	Object Marker	1,016	Each	0	0.00%
	Sign Light	830	Each	0	0.00%
	Highway Light	893	Each	9	1.01%
	Cross Drain	24,641	Each	0	0.00%
	Roadside Ditch	0	Miles	0	0.00%
	Median Ditch	0	Miles	0	0.00%
<b>.</b> .	Outfall Ditch	3,643	Feet	0	0.00%
Drainage	Curb Inlet	129	Each	0	0.00%
	Rip Rap	0	SY	0	0.00%
	Misc. Inlet	18	Each	0	0.00%
	Roadway Sweep	37	Miles	0	0.00%
	Roadway Mowing	439	Acres	0	0.00%
	Slope Mowing	62	Acres	0	0.00%
Vegetation/	Landscaping	0	Acres	0	0.00%
Aesthetics	Tree Trim	37	Miles	0	0.00%
	Litter Removal	37	Miles	0	0.00%
	Turf Condition	37	Miles	0	0.00%

Element	Characteristic	Quantity Inspected	Units	Quantity Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or below
	Pothole	211	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Joint	3,200	Each	1	0.03%
	Pavement Void	211	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Edge Ravel	1,193	Miles	1	0.08%
Poadway	Rutting	211	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
Roduway	Cracking	211	Ramp Miles	0	0.05%
	Depression	1,193	Miles	0	0.00%
	Stripping	211	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Shoving	211	Ramp Miles	0	0.00%
	Paved Shoulder	8,487	Miles	1	0.01%
	Soil Shoulder	1,193	Miles	0	0.00%
	Front Slope	1,193	Miles	0	0.00%
Roadside	Sidewalk	30,260	SF	0	0.00%
	Slope Protection	212,189	SY	0	0.00%
	Fence	990	Miles	1	0.10%
	Pavement Marker	404,085	Each	0	0.00%
Traffic Services	Striping	4,143	Miles	0	0.00%
	Pavement Symbol	1,207,146	SF	203	0.02%
	Guardrail	906	Miles	0	0.01%
	Attenuator	6,344	Each	1	0.02%
	Barrier Wall	313	Miles	0	0.00%
	Signs Less Than 30 SF	11,481	Each	4	0.03%
	Signs Greater Than 30 SF	1,881	Each	10	0.53%
	Object Marker	47,914	Each	307	0.64%
	Sign Light	20,978	Each	0	0.00%
	Highway Light	19,041	Each	170	0.89%
	Cross Drain	534,057	Each	8	0.00%
	Roadside Ditch	37	Miles	0	0.00%
	Median Ditch	8	Miles	0	0.00%
Droinero	Outfall Ditch	65,731	Feet	0	0.00%
Drainage	Curb Inlet	9,902	Each	4	0.04%
	Rip Rap	89,575	SY	0	0.00%
	Misc. Inlet	576	Each	25	4.34%
	Roadway Sweep	1,193	Miles	3	0.25%
	Roadway Mowing	10,002	Acres	3	0.03%
	Slope Mowing	1,582	Acres	2	0.13%
Vegetation/	Landscape	170	Acres	0	0.00%
Aesthetics	Tree Trim	1,193	Miles	0	0.00%
	Litter Removal	1,193	Miles	1	0.08%
	Turf Condition	1,193	Miles	0	0.00%

### Table 13: Condition of Roadway Characteristics - Summary of All Zones



### 2.2. Buildings

### 2.2.1. Mainline Toll Plaza Buildings

The Turnpike's commitment to Periodic Maintenance and Replacement with evolving technology is evident in its shift from traditional Mainline plazas with islands and canopies to Automated Electronic Tolling (AET). A transformation that started over 8 years ago.

This transition begins with the removal of canopies and islands, lane reconfiguration, and is completed with the installation of toll equipment gantries. It's common for island-type toll sites to be replaced with new AET tolling sites as part of broader projects. The final island-type Mainline toll plaza (Lantana Mainline) on the Turnpike is currently in the process of being replaced. Typical Mainline Plaza pre-AET replacement is depicted in Figure 2 below.



Figure 2: Major Toll Plaza Elements (Non-AET)



### 2.2.2. Ramp Toll Plaza Buildings

A considerable number of ramp plazas have undergone conversion using the "AET Lite" equipment conversion. AET Lite conversions include changes to the equipment and lanes of existing facilities to allow for AET tolling. These conversions have been executed as both independent projects and as components of larger full conversion projects. AET Lite sites will either be phased out or replaced with all-new AET toll sites in future construction projects. All new AET Ramp plazas have been established as part of various extensive AET and/or widening projects. An example of typical new Unstaffed AET is shown in Figure 3.



### Figure 3: Typical Unstaffed AET

All Mainline and Ramp Plazas on the Turnpike Mainline have now transitioned to AET, and a significant number have been replaced. The primary large-scale AET projects for existing toll roads have been introduced in phases. Currently, there are 18 AET conversions and eight replacement sites under construction. This year, three replacement AET Plazas have been completed, bringing the number of AET facilities on the system to over 90 percent. The remaining non-AET sites within the system will be replaced as part of future larger projects.



### 2.2.3. Communication Tower Buildings

FTE communication tower buildings are typically small structures constructed of concrete and block. These structures house the electronic circuitry and equipment that supports the microwave radio communications system, which is relied upon by the FTE Operations Offices. Figure 4 provides an exterior example; Figure 5 is an interior example.



Figure 4: Ft. Pierce Communications Building Exterior



Figure 5: Canoe Creek Communications Building Interior



### 2.2.4. Miscellaneous Buildings

FTE's administrative buildings are used by a variety of FDOT functional areas and other Florida agencies, including: the Florida Highway Patrol Troops K and L, Motor Carrier Compliance Office, FDOT Districts 4 and 6, FTE's Office of Toll Operations and FTE's Operations and Concession Management and Marketing Offices. See Figures 6 and 7 for examples.

The Snapper Creek Service Plaza has two separate facilities that are maintained by FTE and are currently being used for FDOT and FTE administrative construction / maintenance office spaces, FHP Troop K offices / operations, and for the SunPass Customer Service Center.



Figure 6: Snapper Creek FHP Building - SR 821



Figure 7: Pompano Operations Annex Building – SR 91



### 2.2.4.1. Water/Wastewater Treatment Plants

The water/wastewater treatment plant provides water to and receives wastewater from the service plaza restaurant, common areas, and service station. The only water/wastewater plant on the FTE system is at the Fort Drum Service Plaza. In 2010, it was updated with a new treatment plant and effluent pond under the Areas USA, Inc. contract and is operational.

### 2.2.4.2. Service Plaza Restaurants and Service Stations

There are eight service plazas located throughout the Turnpike system. Each plaza location is accompanied by a service station (Convenience C-Store). The plazas are operated and maintained by Areas USA, Inc. through a concessions contract with FTE. Fig. 8 below shows a typical service plaza restaurant building.



Figure 8: Port St Lucie Service Plaza

The initial inspection of service plazas began in late 2021 and reoccurs biennially. The results of the inspection are disclosed in the Service Plaza Inspections Report to be submitted to FTE in early 2024.

Table 14 summarizes findings of the FTE on-system inspections performed at all 10 facility zones combined for the 14 facility elements, broken down by their respective characteristics.



Element	Characteristics	Number Inspected	Number Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Caulking	46	0	0.00%
	Ceiling	521	0	0.00%
	Ceilings and Ceiling Grids	570	0	0.00%
	Counters/Cabinets and Drawers	249	0	0.00%
	Doors / Frames (Interior and Exterior)	1653	3	0.18%
	Elevator	12	0	0.00%
	Elevator Certification	23	0	0.00%
	Flooring (Interior and Accessories)	1007	2	0.20%
	Handrail	81	2	2.47%
Architactura	Joint Sealants	530	1	0.19%
Achilecture	Lockers	47	0	0.00%
	Paint - Interior and Exterior	1424	2	0.14%
	Restroom Appurtenances	139	0	0.00%
	Roof Drain	39	0	0.00%
	Shelves	104	1	0.96%
	Site Signs	218	1	0.46%
	Walls (Concrete Block, Brick, Stucco or EIFS)	545	0	0.00%
	Walls (Exterior)	292	0	0.00%
	Walls (Interior)	1092	1	0.09%
	Windows and Storefronts	408	1	0.25%
	Faucets / Sinks	281	0	0.00%
Domestic Plumbing	Piping / Valves	238	0	0.00%
Fixtures	Toilets / Urinals	149	0	0.00%
	Water Heater	77	1	1.30%

### Table 14: Condition of Buildings - All Zones



Element	Characteristics	Number Inspected	Number Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Canopy lighting	73	0	0.00%
	Conduits / Junction Box	315	0	0.00%
	Grounding	402	0	0.00%
	Light Switches	131	0	0.00%
	Lighting (Exterior)	340	0	0.00%
	Lighting (Interior)	1,248	3	0.24%
	Lightning Protection	244	0	0.00%
	Motor Control Center	12	0	0.00%
	Nose Flasher	234	0	0.00%
Building Electrical	Panelboards	478	0	0.00%
	Receptacle	1,528	30	1.96%
	Sign Lighting	65	0	0.00%
	Site Lighting	29	0	0.00%
	Switchboards and Breakers	452	4	0.88%
	Toll Indicator	152	0	0.00%
	Traffic Red / Green Lighting	70	0	0.00%
	Transformers	41	0	0.00%
	TVSS (Transient Voltage Surge Suppressor)	415	0	0.00%
	Wiring	1,334	7	0.52%
	Air Cooled Chiller and Piping	13	0	0.00%
	Air Handlers	632	2	0.32%
	Condensing Units	478	1	0.21%
	Ductwork and Insulation	489	0	0.00%
Building HVAC	Exhaust Fans	290	1	0.34%
	HVAC Control Systems	346	0	0.00%
	Package Unit	366	0	0.00%
	Supply and Outside Air FANS	47	0	0.00%
	Ventilation Outlets	935	0	0.00%
	Concrete (Precast/Cast-in Place)	173	0	0.00%
Structural	Masonry	74	0	0.00%
	Steel Framing	59	0	0.00%
Sewer/Septic Tanks, Lift	Lift stations and Wells	29	0	0.00%
stations & Wells	Sewer/Septic Tanks	15	0	0.00%
	Landscape	25	0	0.00%
Site Grounds	Parking Area	15	0	0.00%
	Site Grounds	173	1	0.58%
	Turf Condition	31	0	0.00%



### Florida's Turnpike Enterprise

### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report

Element	Characteristics	Number Inspected	Number Rated Four or Below	Percent Rated Four or Below
	Canopy Columns	90	0	0.00%
Canopy	Canopy Fascia	74	0	0.00%
	Canopy Signs	144	0	0.00%
	Canopy Structure	3	0	0.00%
Canopy	Canopy Underside	71	0	0.00%
	Sign Structure	77	0	0.00%
	Traffic Red / Green Lighting	6	0	0.00%
	Variable Message Signs	20	0	0.00%
	CCTV (Close Circuit TV)	169	0	0.00%
	Fire Alarm	24	0	0.00%
Communications, Fire	Fire Extinguisher	849	5	0.59%
Alarm and Monitoring	Fire Pump System	3	0	0.00%
Devices	Intercom System	2	0	0.00%
	Security	299	0	0.00%
	Telephone System	363	0	0.00%
Concrete Pavement &	Concrete Pavement	400	1	0.25%
Sidewalks	Sidewalk and Curb	128	1	0.78%
	Booth Ceiling	74	0	0.00%
Booth	Counters/Cabinets and Drawers (Booth)	140	0	0.00%
	Doors / Splash Door (Booth)	94	0	0.00%
	Flooring (Booth)	109	1	0.92%
	Toll Booth Windows/Glazing	100	0	0.00%
	АСМ	57	0	0.00%
	Attenuator	180	0	0.00%
Island	Bollards	335	3	0.90%
	Island Concrete	185	0	0.00%
	Island Signs	145	0	0.00%
	Apron Sweep	149	0	0.00%
	Cracking	176	0	0.00%
Plaza Concrete Apron	Joints	209	0	0.00%
	Pavement Voids	178	0	0.00%
	Striping	260	1	0.38%
	Fuel Line	244	0	0.00%
	Fuel Tank	254	11	4.33%
Stand By Dowar	Gauges	135	0	0.00%
Stanu-by Power	LP Tank	17	0	0.00%
	Stand-By Generator	351	0	0.00%
	UPS (Uninterrupted Power Supply)	476	0	0.00%
Contra	Column Supports	84	0	0.00%
Gantry	Steel Framing	84	0	0.00%



### 2.3. Structures

### 2.3.1. Bridges

The Federal National Bridge Inspection (NBI) is performed in accordance with the National Bridge Inspection Standards. Security concerns prohibit publishing details related to the bridge inspection. Bondholders may contact individual FDOT Maintenance Districts to request the latest reports detailing any concerns. It should be noted that the 2023 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report shows a total of 765 bridges, which is the total number of bridges owned but not necessarily inspected by FTE. FTE structures maintenance data query from July 1, 2023, indicates a total of 765 bridges maintained and inspected by FTE and noted that 3 bridges are rated as five or in "Fair Condition". No bridges were rated below a five.

### 2.3.2. Large Non-Qualifying Culverts (LNQC)

An independent structures consultant inspects all LNQCs once every six years (1/3 of the total per cycle) throughout the FTE system and noted that of 236 existing culvert structures, 8 are rated as five or in "Fair Condition". No LNQC's were rated below a five. The majority of LNCQs (170) are in roadway and structure inspection Zones II and III.

### 2.3.3. High Mast Light Towers (HMLT)

The Federal NBI guidelines do not address HMLTs; however, at the direction of FDOT, an independent structures consultant uses the same ten-point scale of the NBI to rate the condition of HMLTs in the biennial inspection report.

The most current report indicates that of the 318 HMLTs currently in operation within the FTE system, 51 are rated as five, or in "Fair Condition". No HMTL's were rated below a five The HMLT rating and corresponding rating scale are summarized in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings.

### 2.3.4. Overhead Sign Structures

The independent structures consultant noted that of 1,111 existing overhead sign structures, 74 are rated as five or in "Fair Condition". No Overhead sign structures were rated below a five. Table 15 summarizes the overhead sign structures inspected and those rated in fair condition by inspection zone for this reporting period. An Overhead Sign Structure is shown in Figure 9.



#### Table 15: Condition of Overhead Sign Structures



Figure 9: Overhead Sign Structure

The biennial inspection of the FTE's overhead sign structures is based on a visual inspection of three individual sign characteristics: horizontal and vertical members, and structure foundations. These characteristics, along with the sign rating scale, are listed in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings.



### 3. Inspection Results

Element characteristics rated four or below in each of the three categories are identified in this report. As mentioned previously, it is possible that repairs/improvements have addressed some of the items identified as below standard in this report due to the lag-time between inspections and issuance of the report. The numbers of construction and maintenance contracts for each category that were either in effect or advertised during the FY 2023 are summarized in Tables 16 - 18 to give some indication of the work effort already in-place. Many of the contracts listed on Tables 16 - 18 will likely extend over several fiscal years.



NONE OF THE DEFICIENCIES OBSERVED BY THE INSPECTION TEAMS, POSE A SAFETY CONCERN TO FTE CUSTOMERS.

The determinations provided in this report do not consider the criticality of characteristics in relationship to each other. When reviewing below standard characteristics, several considerations influence the desired level of service. These include safety, protection of private and public investment, comfort, economics, environmental impact, and aesthetics. A pavement void, for example, would receive priority over litter removal because it may have an immediate impact on the driving experience of the customer. Standard procedures for rating system facilities are explained in Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings.

### 3.1. Roadways

No roadway characteristics were identified by FTE's annual inspection team as being unsatisfactory overall. However, according to the 2023 PCS Pavement Cracking rating, there are currently 35.95 lane miles reported deficient, of which 29.74 lane miles are located on SR 91 in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties. During the 2023 inspection pavement rehabilitation was observed in Lake County.

Roadway improvement projects are scheduled for FY 2024 to address the 29.74 Broward County section.

The overall RRP rating was 96.49 for all elements combined across the system. The RRP results indicate that FTE's aggressive and comprehensive Maintenance and Renewal and Replacement programs continue to be effective.



### 3.1.1. Roadway

The roadway element, which is comprised of all characteristics of the pavement, has achieved an RRP overall rating of 95.38 on all ramp sections. No Unsatisfactory characteristics were identified greater than 0.43 percent (edge ravel) in any of the maintenance zones reported by the annual inspection. These positive ratings are indicative of FTE's ongoing pavement resurfacing efforts along several portions of the system and an active preventive maintenance program. Table 16 represents construction and maintenance contracts in effect or let during FY 2023.

		Constru	uction	Maintenance		Total Roadway &	
Туре	Zone	Total per Zone	No. of Contracts	Total per Zone	No. of Contracts	Maintenance Dollars	
	Zone I	\$908,721,860	11	\$25,907,927	54	\$934,629,787	
Contracts in	Zone II	\$89,041,815	7	\$24,645,450	8	\$113,687,265	
Effect or Advertised in	Zone III	\$752,571,617	14	\$67,431,163	12	\$820,002,780	
Single Zones	Zone IV	\$764,351,076	13	\$34,165,960	11	\$798,517,036	
	Zone V	\$0	0	\$20,389,116	5	\$20,389,116	
	Zones I & II	\$21,849,074	1	\$994,396	10	\$22,843,470	
Contracts in	Zones I & III	\$3,179,902	1	\$0	0	\$3,179,902	
Effect or	Zones II & III	\$7,559,000	1	\$0	3	\$7,559,000	
Across Multiple	Zones I, II & III	\$125,851,295	3	\$754,783	2	\$126,606,078	
Zones	Zones I, III & IV	\$5,565,378	1	\$0	0	\$5,565,378	
	Zones III & IV	\$0	0	\$4,841,095	2	\$4,841,095	
Totals	All Zones	\$2,678,691,017	52	\$179,129,890	107	\$2,857,820,907	

#### Table 16: Roadway Contracts in Effect or Advertised During FY 2023



### 3.1.2. Roadside

The calculation of the RRP rating for roadside features considers all aspects such as fencing, shoulders, slopes, and other characteristics situated beyond the paved travel path (Refer to Figure 1). For the current fiscal year, the comprehensive RRP rating for the roadside element stands at 95.06. None of the five characteristics of this element were noted greater than 0.3 percent deficient. A standard section of a paved and soil shoulder within FTE's system is depicted in Figure 10.



Figure 10: Typical Paved and Soil Shoulder Section

### 3.1.3. Traffic Services

The rating for the traffic services element is determined by the condition of all features that facilitate, safeguard, and support the customer during their journey on FTE's roads, interchanges, and service areas. For the Fiscal Year 2023, the aggregate RRP rating for traffic services stands at 94.63, with none of the 11 characteristics of this element exceeding 1.01 percent overall. Notably, highway lighting was determined to be at 2.82 percent deficient in zone 4. Figure 11 illustrates highway lighting utilized on the system.



Figure 11: Highway Lighting



### 3.1.4. Drainage

The evaluation of this element is based on the comprehensive state of all infrastructures responsible for the conveyance, collection, and treatment of stormwater runoff. The RRP score for drainage was determined to be 99.02 for the FY 2023. Shoulder gutter, one of the eight characteristics included in the drainage element, was rated at 4.34 percent unsatisfactory. No other characteristic was rated unsatisfactory for greater than 0.25 percent. for FY 2023. Typical shoulder



Figure 12: Shoulder Gutter

gutter within the FTE system is depicted in Figure 12.

### 3.1.5. Vegetation - Aesthetics

FTE consistently oversees the state of vegetation, identifying the requirements for mowing, trimming, re-landscaping, and litter removal. The cumulative RRP score for the vegetation and aesthetics element is 98.37 for FY 2023. Overall, no unsatisfactory ratings exceeding 0.13 percent among the six characteristics of this component were noted in FY 2023. Figure 13 Illustrates well maintained roadside. Additional photos of roadway characteristics are illustrated in Appendix C: Selected Photographs of Desired/Undesired Conditions.



Figure 13: Maintained Roadside


# 3.2. Buildings

In total, references to inspected buildings were made in 28,463 recorded comments of which, 84 were rated as being in condition four or below, resulting in less than one percent of the building elements being unsatisfactory. The majority of reported four or below ratings were not structural or safety related concerns.

FTE toll plaza administration buildings, canopies, and adjacent areas, which include parking and drainage areas, are generally in good condition. The following bullet points lists several building characteristics reported with ratings at the high end of the scale and a brief description about the rating.

- **Fuel Tank** (4.43 percent) This characteristic refers to tanks supplying fuel to the stand-by generators. Most of the unsatisfactory ratings reported for this characteristic are missing tie-down straps and ID tags; more focus is needed on completion of the program to assure all tanks are tied down.
- **Receptacle** (1.96 percent) The majority of unsatisfactory ratings reported for this characteristic were ground fault interrupt (GFI) receptacles that were not functioning as intended; more focus is needed on maintenance of this characteristic.
- Water Heater (1.30 percent) Unsatisfactory ratings reported for this characteristic were related to missing electrical access panels, electrical panels not secured, and or exposed wires.
- **Switchboards and Breakers** (1.14 percent) The majority of unsatisfactory ratings reported for this characteristic are labeling/incorrect directory, incomplete or missing in panels, and the clip-forced switches.
- **Flooring Booth (**1.61 percent) Unsatisfactory ratings for this characteristic included corroded supports and water intrusion.

During FY 2023, 26 facility construction projects included the continued implementation of open road tolling, gantries and AET buildings. In addition, 58 facility routine maintenance contracts are in effect or advertised as indicated in Table 17.



# Table 17: Facilities & Communication Contracts in Effect or Advertised During FY2023

Region	No of Contracts	Activity	Cost			
Maintenand	Maintenance					
North	2	Chiller Services at Suntrax Test Facility (Service Agreement)	\$36,217			
South	1	Communication Tower Ice Bridge (Cable Tray) Support Repairs - South	\$32,000			
North	2	Comprehensive Janitorial Services at SunTrax Test Facility	\$195,225			
North	2	Concrete, Wtrproofing, Sealing, Paint & General Facilities Svc	\$682,000			
North	2	Elevator Maintenance Services	\$103,438			
North	2	General Office Cleaning Services - Suntrax Test	\$32,737			
North	2	Generator Maintenance Services - North Region (YEAR 2)	\$646.860			
North	1	Generator Maintenance Services - North Region (YEAR 3)	\$229,700			
North	2	Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning Equipment Maintenance, Repair	\$272,280			
North	2	HVAC Preventative Maintenance - Suntrax	\$224,450			
North	4	Janitorial Cleaning Services at Yeehaw Junction	\$87,600			
North	1	Life Safety Monitoring. Inspection and Repair at Suntrax Test Facility	\$18.829			
North		Licht Furitting Diada (IED) Tung IICII Data to Consistent	¢02,020			
North	1		\$93,320			
Telecommunications	2	Maintenance of Statewide Telecommunications Network	\$565,568			
North	3	Maintenance of Water Treatment Systems	\$72,074			
North	1	Maintenance, Repair, Testing and Verification of Electrical Services	\$427,625			
North	3	Maintenance, Repair, Testing and Verification of Electrical Services	\$94,240			
North	3	Mowing and Landscaping	\$661,668			
North	5	Mowing and Landscaping at Suntrax Test Facility	\$659,287			
North	2	Performance Based Facilities Maintenance (YEAR 5)	\$3,655,264			
South	3	Performance-Based Facilities Asset Maintenance Contract (Year 1)	\$9,132,600			
South	1	Periodic Maintenance and Repair of Detroit Diesel Mega Generators/ATS	\$172,700			
North	1	Pressure Cleaning Services (YEAR 3)	\$147,011			
North	1	Pressure Cleaning, and Other Related Cleaning Services at SunTrax Test Facility	\$135,431			
South	1	Proposed Selective Interior Painting at Boca Tolls Data Center	\$13,000			
South	1	Re-Lamination of Reception Desk at FHP - Snapper Creek	\$13,500			
Turnpike Wide	1	Repair/Fix Transformer at SunTrax Test Facility	\$15,147			
South	1	Restriping of Parking Lot at Pompano Operations Center	\$10,450			
South	1	Roof Coating at Snapper Creek Sunpass Center	\$32.000			
Turnpike Wide	2	UPS Maintenance Services - Turnpike wide	\$1.213.120			
North	2	Water Treatment Services at Suntrax Test Facility	\$12,789			
58 - Maintenance Contracts \$19,688,136						



Region	No of Contracts	Activity	Cost		
Construction					
North	3	Roof Repair Services & Roof Replacement at HQ Building No. 5315	\$1,649,740		
North	1	Beachline Tunnel HVAC Replacement In-Kind - Martin Andersen Beachline Expressway	\$65,700		
North	1	Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Replacement - North Region	\$126,000		
South	1	Replacement In-Kind One Sixty (60) Ton Chiller	\$126,450		
South	1	Replacement In-Kind Two (2) Rooftop Condenser Units	\$55,877		
South	1	Coral Reef Ultra-High Frequency Communications and CCTV Installation	\$187,853		
North	1	HQ Operations TMC Rack Load and 80 KVA Upgrade	\$454,000		
Turnpike Wide	1	Signature Gantries Fall Protection Systems- North Region (YEAR 1)	\$20,575		
Turnpike Wide	2	Signature Gantries Fall Protection Systems- North Region (YEAR 1)	\$41,150		
North	1	Roof Replacement at Clermont-Leesburg & Yeehaw Junction Maintenance Yard	\$378,233		
South	2	Upgrade of Fire Alarm System at Boca Tolls Data Center	\$215,220		
South	1	Building Management System & VAV Replacement, MP 75.0	\$222,041		
South	1	Pompano Second Floor Server Room Electrical Upgrade	\$30,052		
South	1	Carpet Flooring for Snapper Creek Law Enforcement	\$83,534		
North	3	Plumbing and Lift Stations	\$541,020		
South	1	20 HP Sewage Pump Replacement at Milepost 65.0	\$30,598		
South	1	FCO Replacement of Lift Station Pump at Pompano Operations Center	\$32,200		
South	1	Carpet Flooring for Pompano Services Center	\$223,836		
North	2	Replacement of Generator and ATS	\$438,214		
26 - Constr	26 - Construction Contracts \$4,922,293				
84 - Maintenance & Construction Contracts \$24					



# 3.3. Structures

### 3.3.1. Bridges

During FY 2023, several bridge construction contracts were in effect or advertised. Bridge improvement contracts are included within the total cost of several roadway construction projects either in effect, advertised or completed during the fiscal year. A summary of bridge construction costs was provided by the FTE Plans, Specifications & Estimates group and is shown in Table 18.

Location	Construction Cost
Zone I	\$99,930,881
Zone II	\$6,706,561
Zone III	\$82,942,512
Zone IV	\$203,837,557
Zone I & II	\$1,493,396
Zone I, II & III	\$486,142
Totals	\$395,397,049

#### Table 18: Bridge Contracts in Effect or Advertised During FY 2023.

The State Maintenance Office Bridge Inventory 2023 - Annual Report, which uses the NBI Standards, reported. FTE Maintenance directed a portion of its Periodic and Routine Maintenance funding in FY 2023 to rehabilitation and repair projects. Figure 14 shows an example of a bridge on the FTE system.



Figure 14: SR 589 Suncoast Bridge



### 3.3.2. Large Non-Qualifying Culverts

An LNQC is a structure that does not meet the statutory definition of a bridge. LNQCs are defined as a circular, elliptical arch or box type of culvert with a height greater than four feet, or clear span of ten feet or greater, but less than 20 feet.

LNQCs are inspected once every six years. Each cycle is a two-year period with 1/3 of the total LNQCs inspected during the cycle. It takes three cycles for the entire LNQC inventory to be inspected. The LNQCs are in good condition with minor repairs ongoing as part of the routine maintenance contracts. Figure 15 shows an example of a culvert in the FTE system.



Figure 15: Typical Culvert

### 3.3.3. High Mast Light Towers

Similar to overhead sign structures, HMLTs are included in the structure's inspection. These structures provide illumination for improved nighttime visibility at various locations along the FTE system, such as at interchanges, service plazas and toll facilities.

### 3.3.4. Overhead Sign Structures

Overhead sign structures are inspected separately from those signs in the traffic services element due to being suspended above the travel way by large support structures, but the sign panel condition (retro-reflectivity, peeling, etc.) is documented in the RRP inspection. These signs provide critical directional information, guiding the customer throughout the FTE system. Figure 16 shows an example of a cantilever overhead sign structure on the FTE system.



Figure 16: Typical Overhead Sign Structure



# 4. Commitments and Recommendations

# 4.1. Commitments

Analysis of data collected during the 2023 asset evaluation cycle indicates that FTE's Renewal and Replacement, Periodic, and Routine Maintenance programs are effective in maintaining the system at an optimal level. Programmed funding for physical improvements committed to these programs is indicated in Table 19.

Fiscal Year	Renewal & Replacement Contracts <sup>[1]</sup>	Periodic Maintenance [2]	Routine Maintenance <sup>[3]</sup>	Total	Gross Revenue <sup>[4]</sup>	Percentage of Gross Revenue
2023	\$90.29	\$11.31	\$66.41	\$168.01	\$1,120.92	14.99%
2024	\$158.95	\$23.84	\$87.74	\$270.53	\$1,269.34	21.31%
2025	\$139.50	\$7.07	\$75.45	\$222.02	\$1,298.05	17.10%
2026	\$105.94	\$7.07	\$74.16	\$187.16	\$1,323.57	14.14%
2027	\$100.69	\$7.06	\$76.56	\$184.31	\$1,352.90	13.62%

Table 19: FY 2023 through 2027 Program Commitments (\$M)

<sup>1</sup> Renewal and Replacement data captures all projects/phases using PKYR funding, excluding those PKYR projects that are in the Periodic Maintenance category.

<sup>2</sup> Periodic Maintenance data captures all projects/phases using Item Group PEMT.

<sup>3</sup> Routine Maintenance data captures all phase 72 projects.

<sup>4</sup> Gross Revenue data was taken from the Traffic Engineer's Annual Report, Traffic Engineer's Annual Letter Report, Table 6 (Summary of Florida's Turnpike Enterprise System Toll and Concession Revenue Forecast FY 2023 through FY 2033).

The 16.23 percent 5-year average of gross revenue allocated to maintaining the system is evidence of FTE's commitment to protect the system's assets and bondholder's investments. Programmed commitments between FY 2023 and FY 2027 range from 13.62 to 21.31 percent of gross revenue with the upper limit of this range occurring in FY 2024.

New construction and improvement projects are valued at \$90.29 million for renewal and replacement work (PKYR) in FY 2023, and include roadway resurfacing; bridge, roadway, and facility construction; toll equipment enhancement; and bridge repair.

The amount of \$77.72 million programmed for periodic (PEMT) and routine maintenance (Phase 72) work in FY 2023 includes maintenance of all highway assets, building maintenance, building renovation, building demolition, roof replacement, toll plaza tunnel sealing, drainage improvements and safety upgrades.



# 4.2. Recommendations

The 2023 annual inspection and asset analysis clearly indicates that the system is in an overall - GOOD condition. To maintain the excellent level of service provided to the system's customers well into the future, the AtkinsRéalis - HNTB team recommends:

- Unsatisfactory ratings noted in the FY 2023 report should be addressed as resources allow.
- Critical or emergency items identified during all annual inspections should continue to be addressed at the time they are discovered.
- Continued review of consultant-recommended below-standard characteristics correction options on a 30-business day schedule to determine possible inclusion into maintenance or future construction projects with FTE's Maintenance, Finance and Production Offices.
- Characteristics that continue to be reported with higher-than-average unsatisfactory ratings should be evaluated to determine if creation of a new projects may resolve the issues. Being proactive will ensure that unsatisfactory characteristics are addressed promptly and that items that are approaching this condition are resolved before they become unsatisfactory.
- Table 20 below provides a description of current projects with funding source, priority, and current funding status.

#### Table 20: Improvements, Current Funding Status, and Recommendations – Highway Operations

Description	Funding Source	OPS ID #	Current Funding Status and Recommendations
SR 407 Safety Enhancements	PKYI	OPS2022-4	FPID - 452109-1 (Design funded 2024, Construction funded 2027)
First Coast Express way Safety Enhancements	PKYI	OPS2019-2	FPID - 449711-1 (Design funded 2023, Construction funded 2025)
Improve Bridge Approaches 930215	PKYI	OPS2021-9	FPID - 452111-1 (Design funded 2024, Construction funded 2025)
SR 407 ITS Improvements	PKYI	OPS2022-5	FPID - 452109-1 (Design funded 2024, Construction funded 2027)
CCTV Ramp Deployments	PKYI	OPS2022-14	FPID - 452086-1,-2 (Design funded 2025, Construction funded 2027)
CCTV Gap Project		OPS2021-6	FPID - 452086-1,-2 (Design funded 2025, Construction funded 2027)





FLORIDA'S FURNPIKE

Description	Funding Source	OPS ID #	Current Funding Status and Recommendations
LED Lighting retrofit	PKYI	OPS2022-1	FPID - 452228-1 (Design funded 2024, Construction funded 2025) FPID - 452228-2 (Design is not funded, Construction is funded 2026) FPID - 452228-3 (Design is not funded, Construction is funded 2027)
Improve Access for Bridge 870930	PKYR	OPS2021-3	FPID - 450975-1 (Design funded 2024, Construction funded 2025)
Signing and Pavement Marking Review for Suncoast Trail - Arterial Crossings		OPS2021-10	FPID - 449391-1 (Design funded 2024, Construction is not funded)
Demolition of Boca Raton Toll Plaza	PKYR	OPS2022-17	FPID - 452108-1 (Design is not funded, Construction funded 2024)
Extend the Service Life of Box Culvert Structures	PKYI	OPS2022-7	FPID - 452085-1 (Design funded 2025, Construction is not funded)
Emergency Response Access Locations	РКҮІ	OPS2015-1	FPID's - 449175-3 (ERAL) (Design funded 2023, Construction funded 2024) 449175-4 (Veterans ESS) (Design funded 2023, Construction funded 2025)
Sawgrass Expressway Directional Signage	PKYI	OPS2021-11	FPID - 443612-1 (Design funded 2020, Construction funded 2023)
Buried Foundation for Ancillary Structures - Sawgrass	PKYI/PKBD	OPS2017-1	FPID - 437155-5 (Design funded 2023, 2024 & 2025, Construction funded 2026)



While it is recommended that funds are appropriated for improvements identified in the annual inspection, the requirements for other projects funded through the Renewal and Replacement Program should be evaluated with particular emphasis on the need to resurface the system mainline and ramp facilities. In addition to pavement resurfacing, the Renewal and Replacement Program includes other FTE system assets such as bridges, buildings, and communications facilities; toll equipment; and utilities. Given the magnitude to which these assets affect overall FTE system operations, a significant level of emphasis should continue to be placed on FTE's Renewal and Replacement Program and related funding levels.

#### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report



ANNUAL INSPECTION CONFIRM FTE'S COMMITMENT TO MAINTAIN THE QUALITY AND SAFETY OF THE SYSTEM AND PROVIDE VALUE TO THE BONDHOLDERS' INVESTMENT.



LORIDA

URNPIKE



# Appendices



# Appendix A - Maps of System Components and Inspection Zones



ORIDA'

#### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report



LORIDA'











#### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report













#### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report



LORIDA'S













LORIDA'S

URNPIKE

#### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report









LORIDA









#### FY 2023 Annual Inspection Report









# Appendix B - Inspection Rating Procedures for Roadways, Structures, and Buildings





#### A. Roadway Rating Procedure

The Roadway Rating Procedure developed by FTE and AtkinsRéalis is shown in Table 21. The ratings and descriptions of the numerical grading system are shown in Table 4 in Section 1.2.3. This information is entered directly into a database on a GPS enabled tablet in the field for later compilation and reporting for each roadway. Inspection results are identified by roadway/ramp segment and lane direction.

Roadway		Roadside	Vegetation / Aesthetics
Pothole	Cracking	Soil Shoulder	Roadway Mowing
Joint	Depression	Front Slope	Slope Mowing
Pavement Void	Stripping	Sidewalk	Landscape
Edge Ravel	Shoving	Slope Protection	Tree Trim
Rutting	Paved Shoulder	Fence	Litter Removal
			Turf Condition
Drainage			
Draiı	nage	Traffi	c Services
Draiı Cross Drain	nage Misc. Inlet	Traffi Pavement Marker	c Services Signs Less Than 30 SF
Drain Cross Drain Roadside Ditch	nage Misc. Inlet Roadway Sweep	Traffi Pavement Marker Striping	<b>c Services</b> Signs Less Than 30 SF Signs Greater Than 30 SF
Drain Cross Drain Roadside Ditch Median Ditch	nage Misc. Inlet Roadway Sweep	Traffi Pavement Marker Striping Pavement Symbol	c Services Signs Less Than 30 SF Signs Greater Than 30 SF Object Marker
Drain Cross Drain Roadside Ditch Median Ditch Outfall Ditch	nage Misc. Inlet Roadway Sweep	Traffi Pavement Marker Striping Pavement Symbol Guardrail	c Services Signs Less Than 30 SF Signs Greater Than 30 SF Object Marker Sign Light
Drain Cross Drain Roadside Ditch Median Ditch Outfall Ditch Curb Inlet	nage Misc. Inlet Roadway Sweep	Traffi Pavement Marker Striping Pavement Symbol Guardrail Attenuator	c Services Signs Less Than 30 SF Signs Greater Than 30 SF Object Marker Sign Light Highway Light

#### **B. Structures Rating Procedures**

The structures inspection is performed on a biennial basis and is subdivided into four major elements: bridges, large non-qualifying culverts, overhead sign structures, and high mast light towers.

#### Bridge Rating Procedure

Security concerns prohibit publishing detailed bridge reports outlining component deficiencies in this report. Bondholders may request bridge reports from the individual FDOT Maintenance Districts where the bridges are located.

The biennial inspection for fixed bridges is based on three main components comprised of a total of 93 characteristics and 117 sub-characteristics. A numerical score is generated for each characteristic based on the rating scale shown in Table 22.



Grade	Rating	Description
9	Excellent	All elements are in excellent condition.
8	Very Good	There were no problems noted.
7	Good	Element has some minor problems. Minor maintenance maybe needed.
6	Satisfactory	Element shows some minor deterioration. Maintenance may be needed.
5	Fair	Element is sound but may have minor section loss. Minor rehabilitation may be needed.
4	Poor	Element exhibits advanced section loss. Major rehabilitation may be needed.
3	Serious	Element has loss of section that has seriously affected the structure. Repair of rehabilitation is required immediately.
2	Critical	Element shows advanced deterioration. It may be necessary to close the bridge until corrective action is taken.
1	Imminent Failure	Bridge is closed to traffic. Corrective action may permit light service.
0	Failed	Bridge is out of service and beyond corrective action.

### Table 22: Bridge Inspection Rating Scale





### **Overhead Sign Structures Rating Procedure**

The condition of overhead sign structures is determined based on the biennial inspection of three characteristics:

- 1. Overlane Sign Structure Foundation
- 2. Overlane Sign Structure Horizontal Member
- 3. Overlane Sign Structure Vertical Member

The standard rating scale is shown in Table 23.

 Table 23: Overhead Sign Structures Inspection Rating Scale

Grade	Rating	Description
8-9	Excellent	Performs function with high degree or reliability and or effectiveness
6-7	Good	Performs intended function with small reduction and or effectiveness
5	Fair	Performs intended function with significant reduction in reliability and or effectiveness. Repair or replacement may be required
4-0	Poor	Does not perform intended function in an acceptable level of reliability and or effectiveness. Repair or replacement is required

### High Mast Light Tower Rating Procedure

The condition of high mast light towers is determined based on the biennial inspection of two characteristics:

- 1. High Mast Light Pole Foundation
- 2. High Mast Light Poles

The standard rating scale is shown in Table 24.





Grade	Rating	Description
8-9	Excellent	Performs function with high degree or reliability and or effectiveness
6-7	Good	Performs intended function with small reduction and or effectiveness
5	Fair	Performs intended function with significant reduction in reliability and or effectiveness. Repair or replacement may be required
4-0	Poor	Does not perform intended function in an acceptable level of reliability and or effectiveness. Repair or replacement is required

## Table 24: High Mast Light Tower Inspection Rating Scale



#### C. Building Rating Procedures

The annual building inspection is based on 14 elements and 99 characteristics. The building type dictates the specific report form that is used in field inspection. The general elements and their respective characteristics are listed in Table 25. The standard Building Inspection Rating Scale is shown in Table 5 in Section 1.2.3

Table 25: Building Elements and Characteristics - FTE S	ystem (All Zones)
---	-------------------

Element	Characteristics	
Architecture	Caulking	Lockers
	Ceiling	Paint - Interior and Exterior
	Ceilings and Ceiling Grids	Restroom
	Counters/Cabinets and Drawers	Restroom Appurtenances
	Doors / Frames (Interior and Exterior)	Shelves
	Elevator	Site Signs
	Elevator Certification	Walls (Concrete Block, Brick, Stucco or EIFS)
	Flooring (Interior and Accessories)	Walls (Exterior)
	Handrail	Walls (Interior)
	Joint Sealants	Windows and Storefronts
Building Electrical	Canopylighting	Panelboards
	Conduit	Receptacle
	Grounding	Sign Lighting
	Light Switches	Site Lighting
	Lighting (Exterior)	Switchboards and Breakers
	Lighting (Interior)	Toll Indicator
	Lightning Protection	Transformers
	Motor Control Center	TVSS (Transient Voltage Surge Suppressor)
	Nose Flasher	Wiring
Building HVAC	Air Cooled Chiller and Piping	HVAC Control Systems
	Air Handlers	Package Unit
	Condensing Units	Supply and Outside Air FANS
	Ductwork and Insulation	Ventilation Outlets
	Exhaust Fans	
Communications, Fire Alarm and Monitoring Devices	CCTV (Close Circuit TV)	Intercom System
	Fire Alarm	Security
	Fire Extinguisher	Telephone System
	Fire Pump System	



Element	Characteristics	
Domestic Plumbing Fixtures	Faucets / Sinks	Toilets / Urinals
	Piping / Valves	Water Heater
Structural	Concrete (Precast/Cast-in Place)	Steel Framing
	Masonry	
Sewer/Septic Tanks, Lift stations & Wells	Lift stations and Wells	Sewer/Septic Tanks
Concrete Pavement & Sidewalks	Concrete Pavement	Sidewalk and Curb
Island	АСМ	Island Concrete
	Attenuator	Island Signs
	Bollards	
Booth	Ceiling	Flooring (Booth)
	Counters/Cabinets and Drawers	Toll Booth Windows/Glazing
	Doors / Splash Door (Booth)	
Plaza Concrete Apron	Apron Sweep	Pavement Voids
	Cracking	Striping
	Joints	
Canopy	Canopy Columns	Signs
	Canopy Fascia	Traffic Red / Green Lighting
	CanopyUnderside	Variable Message Signs
	Sign Structure	
Site Grounds	Landscape	Site Grounds
	Parking Area	Turf Condition
Stand-By Power	Fuel Line	LP Tank
	Fuel Tank	Stand-By Generator
	Gauges	UPS (Uninterrupted Power Supply)



# Appendix C - Selected Photographs of Desired/Undesired Conditions





**Undesired Pavement Condition - Class III Cracking** 



**Desired Pavement Condition**




**Undesired Fence Condition - Damaged Fence Post** 



**Desired Fence Condition** 

Florida's Turnpike Enterprise





**Undesired Joint Condition – Spalled Joint** 



**Desired Joint Condition** 



Florida's Turnpike Enterprise



**Undesired Pavement Symbol / Cracking** 



**Desired Pavement Symbol** 





## **Undesired Shoulder Gutter**



## **Desired Shoulder Gutter**





**Undesired Turf Condition - Front Slope** 



## **Desired Turf Condition**